

JUL 03 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

TIMOTHY JON ROBLES,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

UNITED STATES PAROLE
COMMISSION,

Respondent - Appellee.

No. 07-56270

D.C. No. CV-06-01280-GHK

MEMORANDUM *

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
George H. King, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 18, 2008 **

Before: THOMAS, W. FLETCHER and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Timothy Jon Robles appeals pro se from the district court's judgment

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

denying his petition for writ of coram nobis. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Robles contends that the Parole Commission acted without authority when it retroactively recalculated his sentence upon parole revocation and reinstated a term of special parole. He also contends that special parole was not authorized by the statute of conviction. We conclude that the district court did not err in denying Robles coram nobis relief. *See Matus-Leva v. United States*, 287 F.3d 758, 760 (9th Cir. 2002).

AFFIRMED.